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#### Abstract

Isotopic labeling of cysteine residues with acrylamide was previously utilized for relative quantitation of proteins by MALDI-TOF. Here, we explored and compared the application of deuterated and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotopes of acrylamide for quantitative proteomic analysis using LC-MS/ MS and high-resolution FTICR mass spectrometry. The method was applied to human serum samples that were immunodepleted of abundant proteins. Our results show reliable quantitation of proteins across an abundance range that spans 5 orders of magnitude based on ion intensities and known protein concentration in plasma. The use of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotope of acrylamide had a slightly greater advantage relative to deuterated acrylamide, because of shifts in elution of deuterated acrylamide relative to its corresponding nondeuterated compound by reversedphase chromatography. Overall, the use of acrylamide for differentially labeling intact proteins in complex mixtures, in combination with LC-MS/MS provides a robust method for quantitative analysis of complex proteomes.
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## Introduction

Numerous methods have been introduced for quantitative analysis of proteins by mass spectrometry (MS). The choice of method for MS-based quantitation depends on the nature of the application and type of sample of interest. Intact cells can be labeled in vivo using cell culture media enriched with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$, or stable isotopes of amino acids. Alternatively, labeling can be performed with various reagents during or after enzymatic digestion, although samples to be compared have to be processed separately until the labeling step, which may introduce artifactual variations. Quantitative proteomics is reviewed in Ong and Mann, Sechi and Oda, and Julka and Regnier. ${ }^{1-3}$

Plasma proteome analysis represents a major challenge for quantitative proteomics because of the wide range of protein concentration and the occurrence of multiple isoforms that may need to be separately quantified. Our group has implemented an intact-protein based approach to serum profiling with extensive fractionation of nondigested proteins to reduce sample complexity prior to mass spectrometry and to allow separation of isoforms and increased depth of analysis. ${ }^{4}$ Among

[^0]the amino acids that could be tagged in an intact-protein based approach, cysteine is a good target because it occurs in some $96 \%$ of all human proteins and in $\sim 27 \%$ of tryptic peptides. ${ }^{5}$ Cysteine is efficiently alkylated by several classes of reagents, ${ }^{6}$ and has been widely used in protein chemistry to facilitate enzymatic digestion and to prevent protein refolding. ${ }^{9}$ Because of these advantages, the cysteine alkylation reaction has been extensively used to tag proteins with stable isotopes for mass spectrometry based quantitative analysis. ${ }^{7-10}$
While cysteine alkylation with acrylamide is an undesired reaction that frequently occurs during polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, ${ }^{11}$ there are several properties that make acrylamide a very useful tagging reagent for quantitative studies that rely on isotope labeling. First, it is a small reagent (mass $=71$ ) that does not introduce significant mass shift or charge changes in the protein and does not negatively affect protein solubility, since it is an hydrophilic tag; second, the mass shift at the peptide level is also minimal, resulting in relative simple MS/ MS spectra, compared to the effect of large tagging reagents such as isotope-coded affinity tags (ICAT); ${ }^{7}$ third, the reaction is performed using standard protein solubilization solutions and with a virtually $100 \%$ yield; additionally the reagents are relatively inexpensive, making it practical to perform experiments starting with large amounts of protein as needed for extensive fractionation and in-depth analysis.
Acrylamide alkylation has been previously described as one way to obtain quantitation information by matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization- time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) for proteins separated by gel electrophoresis. ${ }^{8,9}$ In this study, we investigated differential labeling of cysteine residues of human serum samples depleted of abundant proteins with acrylamide and two different isotopes $\left(2,3,3^{\prime}-\mathrm{D}_{3}\right.$-acrylamide, $1,2,3-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{3}$-acrylamide), for identification and quantitative analysis using reversed-phase liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) and high-resolution Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FTICR) mass spectrometry. We demonstrated that plasma proteins, most of which are globular and contain several cysteine residues, are quite suitable for alkylation with isotopes of acrylamide. Alkylation with acrylamide did not introduce detectable changes in protein solubility or difficulties in obtaining good collision induced dissociation (CID) spectra of labeled peptides. The use of a high sensitivity and high-resolution mass spectrometer such as the LTQ-FTICR provided excellent MS data, facilitating extraction of reliable relative quantitation for a wide range of serum proteins.

## Materials and Methods

Sample Preparation. A pool of sera from three healthy subjects was chromatographically immunodepleted of the top six most abundant proteins using HU-6 columns ( $4.6 \times 100$ mm ; Agilent, Wilmington, DE) as previously described. ${ }^{6}$ A total of $400 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of immunodepleted sample, equivalent to $65 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the sera pool was concentrated using an Amicon YM-3 device and rediluted in 8 M urea, 30 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.5,0.5 \%$ OG (octyl-beta-D-glucopyranoside)(w/v). The reduction was performed by adding 0.66 mg dithiotreitol (DTT) per mg of protein and the reaction was carried out at room temperature for 2 h . Samples were split into three aliquots and alkylated with acrylamide, $2,3,3^{\prime}$ - $\mathrm{D}_{3}$-acrylamide, $1,2,3-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{3}$-acrylamide, designated here D0, D3, or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-acrylamide. Acrylamide ( $>99.5 \%$ purity) was purchased from Fluka. Isotopes of acrylamide were acquired from Cambridge isotope laboratories (Andover, MA) with minimum chemical purity of $98 \%$. Alkylation was performed by adding 7.1 mg of D0-acrylamide or 7.4 mg of D3 or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-acrylamide per mg of total protein. This protocol was adapted from Sechi et al. ${ }^{8,9}$ and the amount of acrylamide represents 25 -fold molar excess over DTT. The reaction mixture was incubated in the dark for 1 h at room temperature. D3 or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-acrylamide labeled samples were mixed at $1: 1$ ratio with D0-acrylamide and immediately cleaned-up on a reversedphase trap column ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ packed with Poros R1, Applied Biosystems). The same conditions and reagent proportions were used to reduce and alkylate $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of bovine serum albumin. Different ratios (1:1, 1:3 1:5 and 1:10) of D0 or D3acrylamide alkylated albumin were used to evaluate the method.

Protein Digestion And Mass Spectrometric Analysis. Samples were resuspended in 0.25 M urea containing 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate and $4 \%$ of acetonitrile ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and digested overnight with $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of modified trypsin (Promega). The digestion was interrupted by addition of $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \%$ formic acid solution ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). Samples were analyzed in a LTQ-FTICR mass spectrometer (Thermo-Finnigan) coupled with a nanoAcquity UPLC chromatography system (Waters). Liquid chromatography separation was performed in a 25 cm column (Picofrit $75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ID, New Objectives, in house-packed with MagicC18AQ resin) using a 140 min linear gradient from 5 to $40 \%$ of acetonitrile in $0.1 \%$ formic acid at $250 \mathrm{~nL} / \mathrm{min}$ or 60 min gradient for bovine serum albumin (BSA). The spectra were acquired in a data-dependent mode in $m / z$ range of 400 to 1800 , with selection of the 5 most abundant +2 or +3 ions of each MS spectrum for MS/MS analysis. Mass spectrometer parameters were as follows: capillary voltage of 3.2 KV , capillary temperature of $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, resolution of 100000 and FT target value of $2 \times 10^{6}$. Acquired data was automatically processed by the Computational Proteomics Analysis System-CPAS, ${ }^{12}$ using the Comet search algorithm. Minimum criteria for peptide matching was Peptide Prophet Score greater than 0.2. Peptides that met these criteria were further grouped to protein sequences using the Protein Prophet algorithm at an error rate of $5 \%$ or less. ${ }^{13}$ For all databank searches, D0-acrylamide alkylation was considered as a fixed modification and heavy isotope labeled peptides were detected using a delta mass of 3.01884 and 3.01006 Da for D3 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-acrylamide, respectively.

Quantitation Algorithm. Acrylamide ratios were determined using a script designated "Q3" developed in-house to obtain the relative quantities for each pair of peptides identified by MS/MS that contained cysteine residues. Essentially, the algorithm reconstructs the ion chromatogram for both light


Figure 1. Quantitation of different ratios of BSA. The graph shows the linear distribution of an average of 28 data points for each of the 7 different ratios of BSA analyzed. Although the data indicates greater variability for extreme ratios (1:5, 1:10, and 10: 1) all the data points present good correlation coefficients (R2).
(D0) and heavy ( D 3 or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) forms for each identified peptide containing cysteine residues and computes the intensities for each form. More specifically, from the MS/MS peptide identification list, peptides containing cysteine with Peptide Prophet scores greater than 0.75 were selected. We then obtained the theoretical mass-charge of the monoisotopic light and monoisotopic heavy labeled peptides as well as the theoretical masscharge of each respective ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotope peak. Since the mass difference between light and heavy is approximately three times (3.01884 or 3.01006 for D3-acrylamide or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-acrylamide, respectively) the number of cysteines present in the peptide, the analysis was restricted to either the monoisotopic and the first $2{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotopic peaks of the light form (if there is one cysteine) or the first $5{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotopic peaks (if there were two or more cysteines) and their corresponding peaks from the heavy form. The intensity of peaks in each MS scan was computed by centering the MS scan to the location of its maximum closest to the theoretical $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for each peak within a 25 ppm window. Each light peak was paired with the corresponding heavy peak and if both peaks were present, we considered this a match. In general, since peaks occasionally occur stochastically, only matched peaks contributed to the quantitation. For the final quantitation, we used the primary scan (i.e., the one that immediately preceded the MS/MS identification) as well as a certain number of MS scans immediately before and after the primary scan, up to a limit of 10 scans. For a scan without any matched peaks, this scan and any subsequent scan in both directions was excluded. As a special case applied to low intensity peaks, if no more than one matched pair of ions was found in the primary scan, then all of the isotopic peaks found were used, whether matched or not (i.e., if the monoisotopic peak of both heavy and light form matched, but the heavy form also presented the first ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotope, then we considered the sum of both peaks from the heavy and only the monoisotopic peak from the light form in the final quantiation). The resulting ratio was adjusted slightly for all the peptides with mass greater than 1800 Da by subtracting from the derived quantity of the heavy form, the fraction that can be expected to result from overlapping isotopes of the light form. Proteins containing more than one pair of peptides that yielded quantitation had all the ratios

Table 1. Serum Proteins Identified and Quantified using Isotopic Alkylation with Acrylamide ${ }^{g}$

| $\mathrm{IPI}^{a}$ |  | description | gene name | concentration in plasma $(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})^{b}$ | D3/D0 |  |  | 13C/D0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | unique peptide ${ }^{c}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { D3/D0 } \\ \text { ratio }^{d} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ratio } \\ \text { stdev }^{d} \end{gathered}$ | unique peptide ${ }^{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13C/D0 } \\ \text { ratio }^{d} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ratio } \\ & \text { stdev }^{d} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | IPI00164623 |  | complement C3 precursor | C3 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 175 | 1.17 | 0.12 | 90 | 1.02 | 0.01 |
| 2 | IPI00478003 | alpha-2-macroglobulin precursor | A2M | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 126 | 1.14 | 0.08 | 89 |  |  |
| 3 | IPI00556148 | complement factor H | CFH |  | 102 | 1.13 | 0.06 | 110 | 0.96 | 0.16 |
| 4 | IPI00418163 | complement C4 precursor | C4 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 114 |  |  | 80 |  |  |
| 5 | IPI00555812 | vitamin D-binding protein, | GC |  | 88 |  |  | 87 |  |  |
| 6 | IPI00298828 | beta-2-glycoprotein I precursor | APOH |  | 53 | 1.17 | 0.01 | 79 | 1.06 | 0.04 |
| 7 | IPI00019580 | plasminogen precursor | PLG | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 76 | 1.19 | 0.08 | 56 | 1.02 | 0.04 |
| 8 | IPI00017601 | ceruloplasmin precursor | CP | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 81 | 1.14 | 0.00 | 43 | 1.08 | 0.05 |
| 9 | IPI00019568 | prothrombin precursor | F2 |  | 63 | 1.13 | 0.03 | 54 | 1.00 | 0.04 |
| 10 | IPI00021841 | apolipoprotein A-I precursor | APOA1 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 47 |  |  | 70 |  |  |
| 11 | IPI00022488 | hemopexin precursor | HPX | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 55 | 1.08 | 0.02 | 47 | 1.02 | 0.03 |
| 12 | IPI00032328 | kininogen precursor | KNG |  | 51 | 1.12 | 0.05 | 48 | 1.05 | 0.11 |
| 13 | IPI00019591 | complement factor B precursor | BF |  | 48 | 1.18 | 0.10 | 49 | 1.04 | 0.03 |
| 14 | IPI00021727 | C4b-binding protein alpha chain precursor | C4BPA |  | 44 | 1.38 | 0.18 | 39 | 0.93 |  |
| 15 | IPI00294193 | inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H4 precursor | ITIH4 |  | 51 |  |  | 31 |  |  |
| 16 | IPI00022418 | fibronectin; cold-insoluble globulin; migration-stimulating factor | FN1 |  | 45 | 0.89 | 0.13 | 35 | 1.12 | 0.18 |
| 17 | IPI00022463 | serotransferrin precursor* | TF | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 49 | 1.25 | 0.11 | 30 | 1.03 | 0.04 |
| 18 | IPI00022895 | alpha-1B-glycoprotein precursor | A1BG |  | 29 | 1.15 | 0.13 | 45 | 1.01 | 0.03 |
| 19 | IPI00022229 | apolipoprotein B -100 precursor | APOB | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 63 | 1.04 | 0.03 | 10 | 0.94 | 0.02 |
| 20 | IPI00019943 | afamin precursor | AFM |  | 35 | 1.11 | 0.16 | 27 | 1.12 | 0.24 |
| 21 | IPI00026314 | gelsolin precursor | GSN |  | 29 | 1.25 | 0.12 | 31 | 0.89 | 0.06 |
| 22 | IPI00022431 | alpha-2-HS-glycoprotein precursor | AHSG |  | 29 | 1.07 | 0.02 | 30 | 1.04 | 0.03 |
| 23 | IPI00032179 | antithrombin-III precursor | SERPINC1 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 37 | 0.92 | 0.09 | 19 | 0.95 | 0.08 |
| 24 | IPI00022434 | serum albumin precursor | ALB | $4.0 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 43 | 1.05 | 0.03 | 12 | 0.96 | 0.19 |
| 25 | IPI00305461 | inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H2 precursor | ITIH2 |  | 36 | 0.76 |  | 15 | 0.77 |  |
| 26 | IPI00292530 | inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H1 precursor | ITIH1 |  | 38 | 0.97 | 0.03 | 12 |  |  |
| 27 | IPI00304273 | apolipoprotein A-IV precursor | APOA4 |  | 24 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| 28 | IPI00022426 | AMBP protein precursor | AMBP |  | 28 | 1.18 | 0.11 | 21 | 0.95 | 0.01 |
| 29 | IPI00478493 | haptoglobin precursor e | HP | $8.8 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 22 | 1.40 | 0.46 | 24 | 1.08 |  |
| 30 | IPI00550991 | alpha-1-antichymotrypsin precursor | - |  | 37 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| 31 | IPI00021854 | apolipoprotein A-II precursor | APOA2 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 19 | 1.17 | 0.01 | 27 | 1.20 | 0.08 |
| 32 | IPI00032291 | complement C5 precursor | C5 |  | 37 | 0.95 | 0.08 | 7 | 1.00 | 0.03 |
| 33 | IPI00022371 | histidine-rich glycoprotein precursor | HRG |  | 27 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 17 | 0.98 | 0.14 |
| 34 | IPI00291867 | complement factor I precursor | IF |  | 26 | 0.97 | 0.02 | 17 | 0.88 |  |
| 35 | IPI00292950 | heparin cofactor II precursor | SERPIND1 |  | 21 | 1.22 | 0.43 |  |  |  |
| 36 | IPI00479867 | similar to Complement C1r component precursor | C1R |  | 29 | 1.07 | 0.04 | 13 | 1.01 | 0.16 |
| 37 | IPI00298971 | vitronectin precursor | VTN |  | 21 | 1.10 | 0.07 | 20 | 0.93 | 0.03 |
| 38 | IPI00009920 | complement component C6 precursor | C6 |  | 23 | 1.29 | 0.24 | 18 | 1.12 | 0.19 |
| 39 | IPI00008558 | plasma kallikrein precursor | KLKB1 |  | 22 | 1.11 | 0.02 | 16 | 1.01 | 0.04 |
| 40 | IPI00022432 | transthyretin precursor | TTR | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 16 |  |  | 22 |  |  |
| 41 | IPI00007240 | coagulation factor XIII B chain precursor | F13B |  | 25 | 1.07 | 0.06 | 12 | 1.00 | 0.07 |
| 42 | IPI00022429 | alpha-1-acid glycoprotein 1 precursor | ORM1 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 17 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 19 | 0.98 | 0.02 |
| 43 | IPI00020091 | alpha-1-acid glycoprotein 2 precursor | ORM2 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 18 | 1.07 | 0.02 | 17 | 0.94 | 0.04 |
| 44 | IPI00011252 | complement component C8 alpha chain precursor | C8A |  | 21 | 0.90 | 0.03 | 14 | 1.15 | 0.43 |
| 45 | IPI00291262 | clusterin precursor | CLU |  | 15 | 1.41 | 0.30 | 17 | 1.03 | 0.01 |
| 46 | IPI00291866 | plasma protease C1 inhibitor precursor | SERPING1 |  | 21 | 1.21 | 0.20 | 12 |  |  |
| 47 | IPI00166729 | alpha-2-glycoprotein 1, zinc | AZGP1 |  | 14 | 1.02 | 0.06 | 17 | 0.95 | 0.00 |
| 48 | IPI00021885 | fibrinogen alpha/alpha-E chain precursor | FGA |  | 17 | 1.20 | 0.24 | 12 | 0.94 |  |
| 49 | IPI00017696 | complement C 1s subcomponent precursor | C1S |  | 15 | 1.26 | 0.03 | 14 | 1.06 | 0.03 |
| 50 | IPI00025426 | pregnancy zone protein precursor | PZP |  | 13 | 1.07 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
| 51 | IPI00296608 | complement component C7 precursor | C7 |  | 16 | 1.08 | 0.03 | 9 | 1.05 | 0.27 |
| 52 | IPI00022395 | complement component C9 precursor | C9 |  | 15 | 1.05 | 0.19 | 10 | 1.06 | 0.20 |
| 53 | IPI00163207 | N -acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase precursor | PGLYRP2 |  | 14 | 1.03 | 0.01 | 11 | 1.01 | 0.12 |
| 54 | IPI00021842 | apolipoprotein E precursor | APOE | $3.4 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 16 |  |  | 8 | 0.79 | 0.08 |
| 55 | IPI00022445 | platelet basic protein precursor | PPBP |  | 10 | 1.05 | 0.06 | 13 | 1.07 | 0.05 |
| 56 | IPI00021364 | properdin precursor | PFC |  | 6 | 1.05 | 0.02 | 17 | 0.96 | 0.07 |
| 57 | IPI00006662 | apolipoprotein D precursor | APOD |  | 8 | 0.95 | 0.05 | 15 | 0.88 | 0.10 |
| 58 | IPI00294395 | complement component C8 beta chain precursor | C8B |  | 13 | 1.08 | 0.16 | 9 | 0.92 | 0.04 |

Table 1. (Continued)

|  | $\mathrm{IPI}^{a}$ | description | gene name | concentration in plasma $(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})^{b}$ | D3/D0 |  |  | 13C/D0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | unique peptide ${ }^{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D} 3 / \mathrm{D} 0 \\ \text { ratio }^{d} \end{gathered}$ | ratio <br> stdev ${ }^{d}$ | unique peptide ${ }^{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13C/D0 } \\ \text { ratio }^{d} \end{gathered}$ | ratio <br> stdev $^{d}$ |
| 59 | IPI00009028 | tetranectin precursor | TNA |  | 16 | 1.10 | 0.04 | 6 | 1.08 | 0.07 |
| 60 | IPI00022420 | plasma retinol-binding protein precursor | RBP4 |  | 14 | 1.13 | 0.04 | 6 | 0.90 | 0.02 |
| 61 | IPI00385058 | hypothetical protein | - |  | 11 | 1.05 | 0.04 | 9 | 1.07 | 0.03 |
| 62 | IPI00218732 | serum paraoxonase/arylesterase 1 | PON1 |  | 14 | 1.33 | 0.11 | 6 | 0.95 | 0.03 |
| 63 | IPI00294004 | vitamin K-dependent protein S precursor | PROS1 |  | 14 | 1.01 | 0.06 | 6 | 1.23 | 0.28 |
| 64 | IPI00032220 | angiotensinogen precursor | AGT |  | 15 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| 65 | IPI00019581 | coagulation factor XII precursor | F12 |  | 12 | 1.06 | 0.01 | 7 | 1.18 | 0.15 |
| 66 | IPI00027235 | attractin precursor | ATRN |  | 13 | 0.98 | 0.16 | 5 | 0.85 | 0.03 |
| 67 | IPI00218816 | HBB protein | HBB |  | 11 | 1.11 | 0.11 | 8 |  |  |
| 68 | IPI00022446 | Row70Platelet factor 4 precursor | PF4 |  | 7 | 1.06 | 0.04 | 11 | 1.03 | 0.03 |
| 69 | IPI00029863 | alpha-2-antiplasmin precursor | SERPINF2 |  | 12 | 0.95 |  | 5 | 0.84 | 0.01 |
| 70 | IPI00011261 | complement component C8 gamma chain precursor | C8G |  | 13 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 4 | 1.00 |  |
| 71 | IPI00218746 | complement component 1 , $q$ subcomponent, | - |  | 12 | 1.06 | 0.06 | 4 |  |  |
| 72 | IPI00020996 | insulin-like growth factor binding protein complex acid labile chain | IGFALS |  | 13 | 1.43 | 0.34 | 3 | 1.02 | 0.13 |
| 73 | IPI00061977 | MGC27165 protein | IGHA1 |  | 9 | 1.09 | 0.08 | 7 | 1.05 | 0.07 |
| 74 | IPI00019399 | serum amyloid A-4 protein precursor | SAA4 |  | 6 |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| 75 | IPI00030739 | apolipoprotein M | APOM |  | 9 | 1.22 |  | 6 | 1.15 |  |
| 76 | IPI00303963 | complement C2 precursor | C2 |  | 12 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 3 | 1.03 |  |
| 77 | IPI00022392 | complement C1q subcomponent, A chain precursor | C1QA |  | 7 | 1.10 |  |  |  |  |
| 78 | IPI00006114 | pigment epithelium-derived factor precursor | SERPINF1 |  | 10 | 1.13 |  | 4 |  |  |
| 79 | IPI00292946 | thyroxine-binding globulin precursor | SERPINA7 |  | 12 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 80 | IPI00328609 | kallistatin precursor | SERPINA4 |  | 12 | 1.01 | 0.01 | 2 |  |  |
| 81 | IPI00021856 | apolipoprotein $\mathrm{C}-$ II precursor | APOC2 |  | 7 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| 82 | IPI00166930 | carboxypeptidase N 83 kDa chain (regulatory subunit) | - |  | 11 | 0.93 | 0.20 | 2 |  |  |
| 83 | IPI00020986 | lumican precursor | LUM |  | 11 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 84 | IPI00021857 | apolipoprotein C-III precursor | APOC3 |  | 6 |  |  | 7 |  |  |
| 85 | IPI00410714 | hemoglobin alpha-1 globin chain | HBAl |  | 6 |  |  | 7 |  |  |
| 86 | IPI00022417 | leucine-rich alpha-2-glycoprotein precursor | LRG1 |  | 7 | 0.98 | 0.11 | 5 | 1.51 |  |
| 87 | IPI00029168 | apolipoprotein(a) precursor | LPA |  | 5 | 0.99 | 0.02 | 6 | 0.89 | 0.04 |
| 88 | IPI00218803 | fibulin-1 precursor | FBLN1 |  | 7 | 1.07 |  | 4 | 0.75 |  |
| 89 | IPI00021855 | apolipoprotein C-I precursor | APOC1 |  | 6 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| 90 | IPI00025864 | cholinesterase precursor | BCHE | 8 |  | 1.00 | 0.03 | 3 |  |  |
| 91 | IPI00027507 | complement factor H -related protein 3 precursor | CFHL3 |  | 3 | 1.10 | 0.03 | 8 | 1.01 | 0.03 |
| 92 | IPI00041065 | HGF activator like protein | HABP2 |  | 6 | 1.01 | 0.09 | 5 | 0.92 | 0.03 |
| 93 | IPI00399007 | Ig gamma-2 chain C region | IGHG2 |  | 6 | 1.02 | 0.05 | 5 | 0.96 | 0.06 |
| 94 | IPI00382937 | IGHM protein | - |  | 6 | 0.95 | 0.02 | 5 | 1.23 | 0.34 |
| 95 | IPI00025862 | C4b-binding protein beta chain precursor | C4BPB |  | 6 | 1.03 | 0.10 | 4 | 0.87 | 0.13 |
| 96 | IPI00168728 | FLJ00385 protein | FLJ00385 |  | 7 | 1.08 | 0.02 | 4 | 0.97 | 0.01 |
| 97 | IPI00386158 | hypothetical protein | - |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| 98 | IPI00220327 | keratin, type II cytoskeletal 1 | KRT1 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 99 | IPI00005439 | fetuin-B precursor | FETUB |  | 7 | 1.37 | 0.09 | 3 | 1.26 | 0.03 |
| 100 | IPI00296170 | haptoglobin-related protein | hpr |  | 9 | 1.21 | 0.04 | 1 | 1.01 | 0.09 |
| 101 | IPI00029193 | hepatocyte growth factor activator precursor | HGFAC |  | 7 | 1.04 | 0.04 | 3 | 0.91 | 0.10 |
| 102 | IPI00305457 | alpha-1-antitrypsin precursor | SERPINA1 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 103 | IPI00218413 | biotinidase precursor [Homo sapiens] | BTD |  | 5 | 0.97 |  |  |  |  |
| 104 | IPI00003351 | extracellular matrix protein 1 precursor | ECM1 |  | 5 | 1.15 | 0.11 | 4 | 1.45 | 0.16 |
| 105 | IPI00024825 | megakaryocyte stimulating factor | PRG4 |  | 3 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| 106 | IPI00029061 | selenoprotein P precursor | SEPP1 |  | 4 |  |  | 5 | 0.83 |  |
| 107 | IPI00027482 | corticosteroid-binding globulin precursor | SERPINA6 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | IPI00154742 | IGLC1 protein | IGLC1 |  | 5 |  |  | 4 | 1.08 | 0.05 |
| 109 | IPI00009865 | keratin, type I cytoskeletal 10 | KRT10 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110 | IPI00299503 | phosphatidylinositol-glycan-specific phospholipase D 1 precursor | GPLD1 |  | 6 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| 111 | IPI00177869 | apolipoprotein-L1 precursor | APOL1 |  | 5 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| 112 | IPI00006543 | complement factor H -related protein 5 precursor | CFHL5 |  | 5 | 1.39 | 0.26 | 3 | 1.01 | 0.08 |
| 113 | IPI00292218 | hepatocyte growth factor-like protein precursor | MST1 |  | 5 | 1.23 |  | 3 | 0.89 |  |
| 114 | IPI00018305 | insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 precursor | IGFBP3 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3 |  |  | 5 |  |  |

Table 1. (Continued)

| $\mathrm{IPI}^{a}$ |  | description | gene name | concentration in plasma $(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})^{b}$ | D3/D0 |  |  | 13C/D0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | unique peptide ${ }^{c}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} 3 / \mathrm{D} 0 \\ \text { ratio }^{d} \end{gathered}$ | ratio stdev $^{d}$ | unique peptide ${ }^{c}$ | 13C/D0 ratio ${ }^{d}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ratio } \\ \text { stdev }^{d} \end{gathered}$ |
| 115 | IPI00296176 |  | coagulation factor IX precursor | F9 |  | 5 | 1.13 | 0.07 | 2 | 0.92 |  |
| 116 | IPI00006154 | complement factor H-related protein2 precursor | CFHL2 |  | 4 | 1.06 | 0.03 | 4 | 1.04 | 0.01 |
| 117 | IPI00061246 | hypothetical protein | - |  | 5 | 1.01 | 0.02 | 2 | 1.04 | 0.06 |
| 118 | IPI00026199 | plasma glutathione peroxidase precursor | GPX3 |  | 4 | 0.97 | 0.15 |  |  |  |
| 119 | IPI00299435 | apolipoprotein F [Homo sapiens] | APOF |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1.13 | 0.15 |
| 120 | IPI00022937 | coagulation factor V | F5 |  | 3 | 0.81 |  |  |  |  |
| 121 | IPI00008556 | coagulation factor XI precursor | F11 |  | 4 | 0.96 | 0.02 | 3 | 1.09 | 0.02 |
| 122 | IPI00297550 | coagulation factor XIII A chain precursor | F13A1 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| 123 | IPI00293925 | ficolin 3 precursor | FCN3 |  | 3 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 124 | IPI00028413 | inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H3 precursor | ITIH3 |  | 5 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 125 | IPI00032311 | lipopolysaccharide-binding protein precursor | LBP |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 | IPI00027350 | peroxiredoxin 2 | PRDX2 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 127 | IPI00007221 | plasma serine protease inhibitor precursor | SERPINA5 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 128 | IPI00419630 | tax_Id = 9606 DPKL1915 | - |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 129 | IPI00296099 | thrombospondin-1 precursor | THBS 1 |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 130 | IPI00021817 | vitamin K-dependent protein C precursor | PROC |  | 3 | 1.07 | 0.13 |  |  |  |
| 131 | IPI00022394 | complement C1q subcomponent, C chain precursor | ClQG |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 132 | IPI00022391 | serum amyloid P-component precursor | APCS |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 133 | IPI00028030 | cartilage oligomeric matrix protein precursor | COMP |  | 3 | 1.09 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
| 134 | IPI00011264 | complement factor H-related protein 1 precursor | CFHL1 |  | 2 | 0.90 | 0.01 | 3 | 0.96 | 0.13 |
| 135 | IPI00004798 | cysteine-rich secretory protein-3 precursor | CRISP3 |  | 3 | 1.00 | 0.04 |  |  |  |
| 136 | IPI00019359 | keratin, type I cytoskeletal 9 | KRT9 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 137 | IPI00218795 | L-selectin precursor | L-selectin | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3 | 1.01 | 0.04 | 1 | 0.73 |  |
| 138 | IPI00022368 | Row70Serum amyloid A protein precursor | SAA2 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| 139 | IPI00023019 | sex hormone-binding globulin precursor | SHBG |  | 4 |  |  | 2 | 0.91 |  |
| 140 | IPI00550315 | Ig kappa chain C region | IGKC |  | 2 | 0.99 |  | 3 | 1.09 | 0.09 |
| 141 | IPI00001611 | insulin-like growth factor II precursor | IGF2 |  | 3 | 0.97 | 0.69 | 2 | 0.94 | 0.09 |
| 142 | IPI00022731 | apolipoprotein $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{IV}$ precursor | APOC4 |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 143 | IPI00004656 | beta-2-microglobulin precursor | B2M | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2 | 1.04 | 0.03 | 2 | 1.05 | 0.07 |
| 144 | IPI00027462 | calgranulin B | S100A9 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 145 | IPI00329775 | carboxypeptidase B2 precursor | CPB2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146 | IPI00025204 | CD5 antigen-like precursor | CD5L |  | 2 | 1.15 |  | 1 | 1.06 | 0.07 |
| 147 | IPI00019576 | Coagulation factor X precursor | F10 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | $5.51(0.93){ }^{f}$ |  |
| 148 | IPI00242956 | IgG Fc binding protein [Homo sapiens] | - |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 0.76 |  |
| 149 | IPI00247295 | nesprin 1 | SYNE1 |  | 2 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| 150 | IPI00022331 | phosphatidylcholine-sterol acyltransferase precursor | LCAT |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 151 | IPI00007199 | protein Z-dependent protease inhibitor precursor | SERPINA10 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 152 | IPI00029699 | Ribonuclease 4 precursor | RNASE4 |  | 2 | 4.92 (0.78) ${ }^{f}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 153 | IPI00178926 | similar to immunoglobulin J chain | - |  | 3 | 0.95 | 0.06 | 2 | 1.16 |  |
| 154 | IPI00009793 | complement Clr-like proteinase | C1RL |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 155 | IPI00023673 | galectin-3 binding protein precursor | LGALS3BP |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 156 | IPI00550640 | IGHG4 protein | - |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 157 | IPI00019038 | lysozyme C precursor | LYZ |  | 2 | 1.01 |  | 1 | 0.91 |  |
| 158 | IPI00029260 | monocyte differentiation antigen CD14 precursor | CD14 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 159 | IPI00003590 | quiescin Q6 | QSCN6 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 160 | IPI00395488 | vasorin | MRX85 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 161 | IPI00374068 | thrombospondin repeat containing 1 | TSRC1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 162 | IPI00020019 | adiponectin precursor | ADIPOQ |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | IPI00452748 | amyloid protein A | SAAl |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | IPI00008554 | angiogenin precursor | ANG |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 165 | IPI00215983 | carbonic anhydrase I | CAl |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. (Continued)

|  | $\mathrm{IPI}^{a}$ | description | gene <br> name | concentration <br> in plasma $(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})^{b}$ | D3/D0 |  |  | 13C/D0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | unique peptide ${ }^{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D} 3 / \mathrm{D} 0 \\ \text { ratio }^{d} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ratio } \\ \text { stdev }^{d} \end{gathered}$ | unique peptide ${ }^{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 13C/D0 } \\ \text { ratio }^{d} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ratio } \\ \text { stdev }^{d} \end{gathered}$ |
| 166 | IPI00010295 | carboxypeptidase N catalytic chain precursor | CPN1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 167 | IPI00029658 | EGF-containing fibulin-like extracellular matrix protein 1 precursor | EFEMP1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.90 |  |
| 168 | IPI00218834 | Fc of IgG, low affinity IIIa, receptor for | FCGR3A |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 169 | IPI00473011 | hemoglobin delta chain | HBD |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 170 | IPI00301143 | hypothetical protein PSEC0164 | PI16 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 171 | IPI00385985 | Ig lambda chain V-III region LOI | - |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 172 | IPI00009477 | intercellular adhesion molecule-2 precursor | ICAM2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 173 | IPI00216651 | interleukin-28 receptor alpha chain precursor | IL28RA |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 174 | IPI00384401 | myosin-reactive immunoglobulin kappa chain variable region | - |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 175 | IPI00299059 | neural cell adhesion molecule | CHL1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 176 | IPI00022733 | phospholipid transfer protein precursor | PLTP |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 177 | IPI00013179 | prostaglandin-H2 D-isomerase precursor | PTGDS |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 178 | IPI00216882 | similar to mannan-binding lectin serine protease 1 | - |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 179 | IPI00018136 | vascular cell adhesion protein 1 precursor | VCAM1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |

[^1]averaged. This quantitation algorithm will be incorporated in the near future to the open source distributions of the Mass Spectrometry in silico Peptide Characterization Tool (msInspect) ${ }^{15}$ (http://proteomics.fhcrc.org) and integrated into the Computational Proteomics Analysis System (CPAS). ${ }^{12}$

## Results and Discussion

Alkylation Of Cysteines With Acrylamide. The feasibility of acrylamide labeling for protein identification and quantitation has been demonstrated previously using MALDI-TOF for proteins separated by two-dimensional electrophoresis, ${ }^{10,11}$ but not with high-throughput LC-MS/MS. In the present work, alkylation with acrylamide, $2,3,3^{\prime}$ - $\mathrm{D}_{3}$-acrylamide, $1,2,3-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{3}-$ acrylamide, designated here D0, D3, or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-acrylamide, respectively, was investigated with bovine serum albumin and applied to human immunodepleted serum. For both types of samples, no protein precipitation was observed, indicating that acrylamide based alkylation is compatible with intact-protein based approaches. A very high yield of cysteine alkylation was achieved, since databank searches for nonmodified cysteines did not return matches and we could not observe nonalkylated peptides by manual inspection of spectra. However, for several ion pairs of $\mathrm{D} 0 / \mathrm{D} 3$ or $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-acrylamide labeled peptides, we observed a small set of adducts also in pairs separated by 3 Da , corresponding to less than $5 \%$ of the main pair. These adducts have mass increments of $+16,+32$, and +48 . Analysis of the MS/MS spectra of these adducts (data not shown) indicated that addition of +16 and +32 occurred in the Cyspropionamide residue. Our explanation for these adducts would be oxidation of the labeled cysteine residues as observed for methionine during the electrospray ionization, forming Cys-
propionamide sulfoxide $(+16)$ or Cys-propionamide sulfone $(+32)$. However, the third state of oxidation occurs at another site, since the sulfur atom of the Cys-propionamide could not accommodate one more atom of oxygen.

Bovine serum albumin is a protein rich in cysteine residues and represents a good standard to evaluate the approach presented here. Seven different D0/D3 labeled albumin ratios were evaluated. An average of 28 cysteine residues, out of 35 present in BSA, provided quantitation information for the ratios tested. Exemples of spectra obtained for 7 different ratios of D0/D3 acrylamide are shown in supplementary data. The result was obtained in a LTQ-FTICR mass spectrometer at 100000 resolution, as utilized for analysis of complex samples in the data dependent acquisition mode. The spectra were welldefined and showed clearly the presence of both envelopes of ions for D0 and D3 labeled peptides even for ratios 10:1 (supplementary figure). Such resolution is important to resolve individual isotopic peaks from the D0 or D3-labeled peptide, directly impacting on peptide identification and accuracy of quantitation and on separation of different coeluting peptides especially in high complex mixtures such as human biological samples. Also the high-resolution ensures that background noise has minimal interference in quantitation.

Figure 1 shows the plot of all quantitation data points obtained, illustrating the linearity of this approach. The coefficient of variation calculated for all the albumin peptide ratios for the D0/D3 pairs $1: 3,1: 1$, and $3: 1$ were respectively $17.3 \%$, $13.2 \%$, and $19.2 \%$, indicating accurate quantitation. These values are similar to those obtained in a recent ICAT evaluation that resulted in a coefficient of variation of $18.6 \%{ }^{16}$ For ratios above 10 -fold, these variations increased significantly to about
$35-50 \%$, especially for ratios where the peptide labeled with the light form of acrylamide is more intense, due to interference of isotopic peaks representing 3 or $4{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$. Similar results have been reported for other quantitation methods in which the separation of light and heavy envelopes is only $3 \mathrm{Da} .{ }^{17,18}$ Although this decrease in quantitative accuracy for extreme ratios ( $>10$-fold) is significant, the method is still valuable for assessing large biological quantitative variations. For these particular cases, occasionally only the light or heavy form of the peptide is detected (unpublished data-not shown), which have to be individually evaluated to avoid distortions in the final calculation of the protein ratio.

Analysis Of Immunodepleted Human Serum. To assess the merits of isotopic acrylamide labeling of intact proteins, a pool of serum from three healthy subjects was immunodepleted to remove the top six most abundant proteins, after which the remainder of the proteins were reduced with DTT, split into three aliquots and labeled with D0, D3-acrylamide, or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ acrylamide. Mixtures of equal amounts of D0 and D3 or D0 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labeled aliquots were analyzed in duplicate using a 2 h reversed-phase gradient in a LTQ-FTICR mass spectrometer.

Analysis of immunodepleted but otherwise unfractionated serum labeled with D0/D3 or $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ yielded 160 proteins identified with two or more peptides in replicate runs (Table 1). A total of 121 of these proteins ( $76 \%$ ) were present in four replicates, indicating good reproducibility of the overall method. Among the proteins identified in this study, complement C3 is found in plasma at $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \beta$-2-microglobulin at $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 precursor at $60 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL},{ }^{14}$ which corresponds to more than 5 orders of magnitude in protein concentration in a single run. This is particularly noteworthy given that no fractionation step was applied. ${ }^{4}$

Quantitation ratios were calculated for those peptides containing cysteine residues identified by MS/MS, with a Peptide Prophet score $>0.75$. The presence of a coeluting pair of ions separated by multiples of 3 Da also indicates correct assignment of the peptide sequence containing cysteine. ${ }^{8}$ Cysteine containing peptides provided quantitation information for a total of 113 proteins ( 69 present on all four replicates) (Table 1). Several proteins presented multiple peptides containing cysteine as observed in Figure 2 for plasminogen, for which out of 35 peptides containing cysteine in the sequence, 24 were detected in this study. Among the 2493 unique peptides identified (unique sequences) in our entire data set (duplicates of $\mathrm{D} 0 / \mathrm{D} 3$ and $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ), 857 peptides ( $34.4 \%$ ) contained at least one cysteine residue. This value is slightly higher than the estimate of tryptic peptides containing cysteine predicted based on the human genome $(26.6 \%) .{ }^{5}$ It is likely that serum proteins are particularly rich in cysteine residues compared for example to membrane proteins.

Quantitation of individual proteins, represented by a particular IPI number, was calculated by averaging the ratios obtained for cysteine labeled peptides. In particular cases for which one peptide ratio represented an outlier among several others from the same protein, this ratio was excluded from the final protein ratio computation. As can be observed in Table 1, few replicates presented standard deviations for duplicates greater than 0.25 . Only two proteins, coagulation factor X precursor and Ribonuclease 4, each containing a single peptide ratio measurement, presented unexpected high values. Manual inspection of these outliers indicated that for coagulation factor X precursor and ribonuclease 4 , incorrect ratios were computed


Figure 2. Quantitation of plasminogen. Of the 35 peptides containing cysteine in plasminogen, 24 were detected and provided quantitation data. The standard deviations calculated for the data (at the bottom) indicates that the pair of acrylamide isotopes $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ provides highly accurate data.


Figure 3. Quantitation of serum proteins. A mixture of $1: 1$ immunodepleted human serum sample was labeled with D0/D3-acrylamide (right panels) or $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{3} \mathrm{C}$-acrylamide (left panels). These data points represent an average of two measurements per peptide and this redundancy in quantification results from redundancy in peptide identification, which increases confidence in the data. Panel A illustrates the distribution of quantitation data correlated to peptide mass. Most of the data points ( $89 \%$ ) were acquired for peptides with mass lower than 3000 Da . The plots in panel B show the distribution of ratios obtained for intensities of the heavy and light forms of acrylamide. The points are linearly distributed over 5 orders of magnitude based on ion intensities. Panel C shows the distribution of quantitation ratios obtained for the pairs $\mathrm{D} 0 / \mathrm{D} 3$ and $\mathrm{DO} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} .94$ and $96 \%$ of the data points are within a 2 -fold ratio threshold (indicated by dashed lines) and 86 and $94 \%$ within a 1.5 -fold for $\mathrm{D} 0 / \mathrm{D} 3$ and $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{3} \mathrm{C}$, respectively.
due to low intensities of the peptide ions $(s / n<4)$ and ratios manually calculated from peak intensities were respectively 0.93 and 0.78 . Also, it can be observed in Table 1 that often the number of peptides obtained for the pair D0/D3 was greater than for $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$. We attribute this difference solely to a lower recovery of the $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ proteins during samples cleanup, since no chemical reactivity difference can be expected from acrylamide isotopes and the purity grade of the reagents was similar (>98\%).

A complicating factor with the acrylamide labeling method is overlapping of light and heavy labeled isotopes, due to the low mass difference ( 3 Da ). However, this effect would be more noticeable for peptides with mass above 3000 Da , for which the relative intensity of the third ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotope of the light form
could contribute about $46 \%$ of the intensity of the monoisotopic peak of the heavy form. In the present work, the script that calculates intensities of the quantitation pairs took into consideration the predicted contribution of the third, fourth and fifth ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotope of the light form which was subtracted from the corresponding ions of the heavy form envelope for all peptides with single cysteine residues and mass greater than 1800. As can be noted in Figure 3a, peptides above 3000 Da provided reliable data after this correction for both D0/D3 and D0/ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-acrylamide pairs. Also it would be expected that the larger the peptide, the greater the chance for occurrence of more than one cysteine residue. In this study, we detected peptides containing up to 4 cysteine residues, although the number of these high mass peptides was small, due to


Figure 4. Influence of deuterated acrylamide peptide elution. The reconstructed ion chromatograms compare the elution profiles of the peptide VCPFAGILENGAVR from $\beta$-2-glycoprotein I precursor labeled with the pairs DO/D3 (upper panel) and D0/3 ${ }^{13}$ (lower panel). The elution profile of the heavy form (D3 or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-acrylamide) is represented in gray and in black for the light form (D0 acrylamide). Early elution of the D3-acrylamide labeled peptide is noticeable interfering with the quantitation ratios obtained at different retention times. Spectra on the right correspond to the D0/D3 or D0/ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ pairs obtained at the critical retention times indicated with arrows.
limitations in ionization and fragmentation as well as MS/MS data complexity.

As previously indicated, proteins identified in this study occur in human plasma in concentrations ranging from mg/ mL to $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ (Table 1). The levels of ion intensities for peptides that provided quantitation information were also distributed across some 5 orders of magnitude as illustrated in Figure 3b. This correspondence indicates that the combination of a high accuracy and sensitivity mass spectrometer and simple and efficient isotopic tagging with acrylamide provides a robust method for quantitative analysis of complex proteomes.

Comparison Of D3-Acrylamide And ${ }^{13}$ C-Acrylamide. A concern with the use of deuterated compounds for quantitation in LC-MS is a small difference in hydrophobicity between deuterated and nondeuterated pairs. This difference may result in small shifts in chromatographic retention time of peptides as observed with the first generation of ICAT reagents in which peptides labeled with the deuterated tag eluted some seconds earlier than the nondeuterated tag that contains only hydrogen. ${ }^{19}$ This effect is not expected for isotopes containing ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$. To evaluate the consequence of these effects on quantitation results, we compared the performance of $\mathrm{D} 0 / \mathrm{D} 3$ and $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ pairs using data obtained for serum proteins.

Hydrophobicity of the domain into which heavy isotopes are incorporated is the most important indicator of whether a coding agent will exhibit a deuterium isotope effect during reversed-phase chromatography. ${ }^{19}$ Deuterium atoms in a polar and small functional group such as acrylamide would be expected to present a small resolution effect. We observed consistent differences in retention time of about $1-2 \mathrm{~s}$ between the D3 and the D0-acrylamide across the entire chromatogram. Figure 4 presents the elution profile of one peptide containing a single cysteine residue with about a 6 s -shift between D0/D3 labeled peaks. The quantitation script takes the MS/MS sequencing event as the starting point to extract the ion intensities and a $10-\mathrm{MS}$ scan ( $\sim 14 \mathrm{~s}$ ) window is considered for the calculations. Consequently, significant shifts can lead to errors. For this particular example, a total of 6 ratios ( $6 \mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}$ events for the peptide) were obtained for the peptide as a result of wide elution of the corresponding peak. The values have an average of 1.06 (D3/D0), but when considered individually, we observed influence of the shift in elution into the quantitation (1.85, 1.02, 1.33, 0.87, 0.60, 0.72). Points taken at the beginning of the elution had greater contribution of the D3-labeled isotope, increasing the ratio, while points at the end had greater contribution of the D0-labeled ion (see Figure 3 left panels). This same peptide, labeled with the pair $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, is illustrated
in Figure 4. As can be observed, no difference in elution of isotopes of acrylamide can be detected for the pair $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ as predicted. Several quantitation data points were also obtained for the $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labeled peptide, due to its broad elution profile. In contrast to D0/D3 findings, basically the same ratio was obtained across all elution points (1.07, 1.01, 0.98, 1.05, 1.05, $0.90,1.00,0.92,0.99)$ for the $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labeled peptide. The standard deviation for the ratios was $\pm 0.06$, compared to $\pm 0.46$ for the D0/D3 pair.

Other undesirable effects resulting from distinct elution of deuterated and nondeuterated peptides may complicate analysis since they can be ionized at different times or may overlap with other peptides with similar mass, increasing the possibility of producing both systematic and random quantitation errors. ${ }^{19}$ These effects could be reflected in quantitation data obtained across the entire experiment. Figure 2 illustrates peptide quantitation findings for plasminogen. Greater quantitative variability was observed for D0/D3, with a standard deviation that was 2 -fold higher than that obtained for $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-acrylamide. We attribute this variability to the deuterium effect, since the samples compared were the same. In the same way, the influence of resolution of deuterated compounds is evident in all the panels presented in Figure 3. Though both D0/D3 and $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ pairs presented almost the same modes of 1.13 and 1.11 (Figure 3C) and percentage of data points within a 2 -fold threshold ( $94 \%$ and $96 \%$ ), when this threshold was lowered to 1.5 -fold, the differences became significant ( $86 \%$ and $94 \%$ ). In both the correlation ratio/peptide mass (Figure 3a) and the distribution plot of the quantitation data (Figure 3b), there was evident spreading of values for the pair D0/D3. On the basis of all the comparisons, we can conclude that for more accurate analysis, the $\mathrm{D} 0 /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-acrylamide pair should be used, though the D0/D3 pair can also provide useful data.

## Conclusions

The quantitative $\mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}$ approach presented is simple, low cost and takes advantage of the high-sensitivity/high resolution provided by an instrument like the LTQ-FTICR mass spectrometer and provides both high confidence identification and quantitative data for complex protein mixture. Differential alkylation of intact serum proteins with acrylamide isotopes provided relative quantitation for about $70 \%$ of proteins identified (113 proteins quantified from 160 proteins identified with two or more peptides). These proteins were distributed over 5 orders of magnitude of abundance levels based on the range of intensity of peptide ions and known protein concentration in plasma. The variations caused by chromatographic resolution of deuterated and nondeuterated acrylamide labeled peptides lead to the conclusion that use of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotope of acrylamide is preferred because of greater accuracy. Implementation of this methodology in combination with intact protein separation methods would be a valuable tool for the analysis of complex biological fluids proteomes.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ The databank IPI version 3.09 was used for protein identification. ${ }^{b}$ Values reproduced from Haab et al. ${ }^{14}{ }^{c}$ Different charge states and different states of oxidation as well as heavy or light form of the peptide were considered different peptides in deriving the final number of unique peptides. ${ }^{d}$ Standard deviation of ratios was calculated for the protein ratios across duplicates. ${ }^{e}$ Residual protein from immunodepletion. ${ }^{f}$ Value generated automatically (value manually calculated). ${ }^{g}$ A total of 160 proteins were identified with more than 2 peptides in all 4 replicates of unfractionated serum; 113 proteins ( $70 \%$ ) presented at least one peptide containing cysteine which provided quantitation information.

